

What WMDs?

The UN weapons inspectors were convinced before the war that Iraq had no weapons of mass destruction, but the US and Britain claimed that WMDs were the reason for invading. None have been found as of the end of May. The Iraq war was based on either a lie or a mistake.

How the Story Has Changed:

“Simply stated, **there is no doubt** that Saddam Hussein now has weapons of mass destruction.” *Dick Cheney 8/26/02*

“**We know for a fact** that there are weapons there.” *Ari Fleischer 1/9/03*

“**We have sources** that tell us that Saddam Hussein recently authorized Iraqi field commanders to use chemical weapons.” *George Bush 2/8/03*

“One of our **top objectives** is to find and destroy the WMD. There are a number of sites.” *Pentagon Spokeswoman Victoria Clark 3/22/03*

“I have **no doubt** we’re going to find big stores of weapons of mass destruction.” *Kenneth Adelman 3/23/03*

“**We know** where they are.” *Donald Rumsfeld 3/30/03*

“I am **confident** that we will find evidence that makes it clear he had weapons of mass destruction.” *Colin Powell 5/4/03*

“**I never believed** that we’d just tumble over weapons of mass destruction in that country.” *Donald Rumsfeld 5/4/03*

“U.S. officials **never expected** that ‘we were going to open garages and find’ weapons of mass destruction.” *Condoleeza Rice 5/12/03*

“For bureaucratic reasons, **we settled on one issue**, weapons of mass destruction [as justification for invading Iraq] because it was the one reason everyone could agree on.” *Paul Wolfowitz 5/28/03*

“Believe me, it’s not for lack of trying ... but they’re **simply not there**.” *Lt. Gen. James Conway 5/30/03*

Next? “**Our strong position is that Iran is preparing ... to produce fissile materials for nuclear weapons.**” *Ari Fleischer 5/27/03*

Quotes collected at counterpunch.org, 5/29/03, except Conway, AP 3/30/03, and the last, *NY Times*, 5/28/03

The Peace Movement Was Right

What WMDs?

The UN weapons inspectors were convinced before the war that Iraq had no weapons of mass destruction, but the US and Britain claimed that WMDs were the reason for invading. None have been found as of the end of May. The Iraq war was based on either a lie or a mistake.

How the Story Has Changed:

“Simply stated, **there is no doubt** that Saddam Hussein now has weapons of mass destruction.” *Dick Cheney 8/26/02*

“**We know for a fact** that there are weapons there.” *Ari Fleischer 1/9/03*

“**We have sources** that tell us that Saddam Hussein recently authorized Iraqi field commanders to use chemical weapons.” *George Bush 2/8/03*

“One of our **top objectives** is to find and destroy the WMD. There are a number of sites.” *Pentagon Spokeswoman Victoria Clark 3/22/03*

“I have **no doubt** we’re going to find big stores of weapons of mass destruction.” *Kenneth Adelman 3/23/03*

“**We know** where they are.” *Donald Rumsfeld 3/30/03*

“I am **confident** that we will find evidence that makes it clear he had weapons of mass destruction.” *Colin Powell 5/4/03*

“**I never believed** that we’d just tumble over weapons of mass destruction in that country.” *Donald Rumsfeld 5/4/03*

“U.S. officials **never expected** that ‘we were going to open garages and find’ weapons of mass destruction.” *Condoleeza Rice 5/12/03*

“For bureaucratic reasons, **we settled on one issue**, weapons of mass destruction [as justification for invading Iraq] because it was the one reason everyone could agree on.” *Paul Wolfowitz 5/28/03*

“Believe me, it’s not for lack of trying ... but they’re **simply not there**.” *Lt. Gen. James Conway 5/30/03*

Next? “**Our strong position is that Iran is preparing ... to produce fissile materials for nuclear weapons.**” *Ari Fleischer 5/27/03*

Quotes collected at counterpunch.org, 5/29/03, except Conway, AP 3/30/03, and the last, *NY Times*, 5/28/03

The Peace Movement Was Right

What's the Plan?

Before the invasion of Iraq, the US and Britain thought it would be easy to set up a regime, but now it is clear that there is no workable plan. Our troops are still taking casualties, and will be there for longer than expected. Iraq is a mess and we don't know what to do about it.

How the Story Has Changed:

“The idea that it would take several hundred thousand U.S. forces [to secure Iraq] I think is **far off the mark.**” *Rumsfeld, New York Times 2/28/03*

“Defense Department civilians ... suggested [in February] that a force of about **100,000** American troops might be sufficient at the start and that the figure could quickly taper off.” *New York Times 5/29/03*

“The Bush administration is planning to ... shrink the American military presence to **less than two divisions** [from over five] by the fall, senior allied officials said today.” *New York Times 5/3/03*

“The United States and Britain have **indefinitely put off their plan** to allow Iraqi opposition forces to form a national assembly and an interim government by the end of the month.” *New York Times 5/17/03*

“Instead of sending home the Third Infantry Division, ... **American officials are developing plans that call for most of its troops to extend their stay** ... The total number of allied forces involved is 200,000 or more.” *New York Times 5/29/03*

We Must Stop These Wars

Speak out We have to find a way out of Iraq, and we *can and must* stop the next war. **Talk** with your neighbors. **Vote!** Write to your Representatives & newspapers. Call Congress (202-224-3121). **Educate** yourself. See: guardian.co.uk news.google.com washingtonpost.com zmag.org independent.co.uk tompaine.org indymedia.org mbeaw.org
San Jose: sanjosepeace.org *Bay Area:* bapd.org
Santa Cruz: rcnv.org santacruzpeacecoalition.org

By Pete Shanks, 5/30/03; this flyer and more are posted at wordsontheweb.com/Peace/flyers.html

The Peace Movement Is Still Right

What's the Plan?

Before the invasion of Iraq, the US and Britain thought it would be easy to set up a regime, but now it is clear that there is no workable plan. Our troops are still taking casualties, and will be there for longer than expected. Iraq is a mess and we don't know what to do about it.

How the Story Has Changed:

“The idea that it would take several hundred thousand U.S. forces [to secure Iraq] I think is **far off the mark.**” *Rumsfeld, New York Times 2/28/03*

“Defense Department civilians ... suggested [in February] that a force of about **100,000** American troops might be sufficient at the start and that the figure could quickly taper off.” *New York Times 5/29/03*

“The Bush administration is planning to ... shrink the American military presence to **less than two divisions** [from over five] by the fall, senior allied officials said today.” *New York Times 5/3/03*

“The United States and Britain have **indefinitely put off their plan** to allow Iraqi opposition forces to form a national assembly and an interim government by the end of the month.” *New York Times 5/17/03*

“Instead of sending home the Third Infantry Division, ... **American officials are developing plans that call for most of its troops to extend their stay** ... The total number of allied forces involved is 200,000 or more.” *New York Times 5/29/03*

We Must Stop These Wars

Speak out We have to find a way out of Iraq, and we *can and must* stop the next war. **Talk** with your neighbors. **Vote!** Write to your Representatives & newspapers. Call Congress (202-224-3121). **Educate** yourself. See: guardian.co.uk news.google.com washingtonpost.com zmag.org independent.co.uk tompaine.org indymedia.org mbeaw.org
San Jose: sanjosepeace.org *Bay Area:* bapd.org
Santa Cruz: rcnv.org santacruzpeacecoalition.org

By Pete Shanks, 5/30/03; this flyer and more are posted at wordsontheweb.com/Peace/flyers.html

The Peace Movement Is Still Right